UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,001	01/19/2006	Laurent Labrousse	284320US0PCT	5146
	7590 07/08/200 AK, MCCLELLAND I	Laurent Labrousse	EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE ST			MCDONALD, RODNEY GLENN	
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314	VA 22314 ART UNIT PAPER NUM		PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/08/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Intonvious Summans	10/565,001	10/565,001 LABROUSSE ET AL.	
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rodney G. McDonald	1795	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) Rodney G. McDonald.	(3)		
(2) <u>Daniel Pereira</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 25 June 2009.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2	2)∏ applicant's representative	;]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>21</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Discussed the prior art as crystallization structure as was claimed and as was pointed anatase feature. Applicant's representative proposed poss. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. The FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERPUTEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF T	s it was applied against the class out in the final rejection. Specific amendments to the claims on the amendments that was considered to the amendment to the ame	aims. Discussed ecifically discussed ecifically discussed exists. Treed would render the ecifical ecifically discussed ecifically ecifically discussed ecifically e	er the claims claims OF THE LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
/Rodney G. McDonald/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795			

Application No.

Applicant(s)